

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,911	03/12/2001	Eric B. Allen	58207.000004 7159	
7:	590 02/27/2004		EXAM	INER
Rene A. Vazquez, Esq.			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
Hunton & Will Suite 1200	iams		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 K Street,	N.W.	1631		
Washington, DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/802,911	ALLEN ET AL.			
, across y , cone, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application abandonent which applicated applications.	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	,			
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-56.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10.⊠ Other: Interview Summary		M a. Marcon			

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631 Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new combination of limitations recited in the amendment is a new issue requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument with regard to a "dynamic" database is not convincing. Applicant sets forth several possible meanings for a "dynamic" database as exemplified by the specification, but does not explicitly define "dynamic" with regard to a database anywhere, nor does applicant explicitly set forth the metes and bounds of a "dynamic" database. Applicant is reminded that limitations from the specification (e.g. in examples) cannot be "read into" the claims. Arguments with regard to rejections under 35 USC 102 are directed to proposed amended claims. As the amendment has not been entered, the arguments are not persuasive.